

# Equality analysis report

## Step 1. About the policy, service change or withdrawal

Name of the policy, service or project: <i>be specific</i>	Code of Corporate Governance
Revised / new / withdrawal:	Revised
Intended aims / outcomes/ changes:	Audit and Governance Committee approves the revised Code and reviews its effectiveness on an annual basis
Relationship with other policies / projects:	The code attempts to bring together the governance elements across the breadth of the Council's policies and plans
Name of senior manager for the policy / project:	Colleen O'Boyle
Name of policy / project manager:	Simon Hill

## Step 2. Decide if the policy, service change or withdrawal is equality relevant

Does the policy / project / service process involve, or have consequences for employees or other people? If yes, please state who will be affected. If yes, then the policy / project is equality relevant. <i>No</i>	If yes, state which protected groups:
If no, state your reasons for this decision. Go to step 7.  <i>The revised code reflects solely existing Council policies and frameworks</i>	If no, state reasons for your decision: There is no impact on individuals or groups of individuals being a high level review of the Internal Audit function.

## Step 3. Gather evidence to inform the equality analysis

What evidence have you gathered to help you understand the impact of your policy or service change or withdrawal on people? What does your evidence say about the people with the protected characteristics? If there is no evidence available for any of the characteristics, please explain why this is the case, and your plans to obtain relevant evidence. Please refer to Factsheet 2 '*Sources of evidence for the protected characteristics*'

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>Evidence (name of research, report, guidance, data source etc)</i>	<i>What does this evidence tell you about people with the protected characteristics?</i>
Age		
Dependents / caring responsibilities		
Disability		
Gender reassignment		

Marriage and civil partnership		
Pregnancy and maternity		
Race / ethnicity		
Religion or belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		

#### **Steps 4 & 5 Analyse the activity, policy or change (*The duty to eliminate unlawful discrimination*)**

Based on the evidence you have analysed, describe any actual or likely adverse impacts that may arise as a result of the policy decision. Where actual or likely adverse impacts have been identified, you should also state what actions will be taken to mitigate that negative impact, ie what can the Council do to minimise the negative consequences of its decision or action.

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>Actual or likely adverse impacts identified</i>	<i>Actions that are already or will be taken to reduce the negative effects identified</i>
Age		
Dependents / caring responsibilities		
Disability		
Gender reassignment		
Marriage and civil partnership		
Pregnancy and maternity		
Race / ethnicity		
Religion or belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		

#### **Step 6.**

##### **The duty to advance equality of opportunity**

Can the policy, service or project help to advance equality of opportunity in any way? If yes, provide details. If no, provide reasons. (*Note: not relevant to marriage and civil partnership*)

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>Ways that this policy, service or project can advance equality of opportunity</i>	<i>Why this policy, service or project cannot help to advance equality of opportunity:</i>
Age		
Dependents / caring responsibilities		

Disability		
Gender reassignment		
Pregnancy and maternity		
Race / ethnicity		
Religion or belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		

### The duty to foster good relations

Can the policy, service or project help to foster good relations in any way? If yes, provide details. If no, provide reasons. *(Note: not relevant to marriage and civil partnership)*

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>How this policy, service or project can foster good relations:</i>	<i>Why this policy, service or project cannot help to foster good relations:</i>
Age		
Dependents / caring responsibilities		
Disability		
Gender reassignment		
Pregnancy and maternity		
Race / ethnicity		
Religion or belief		
Sex		
Sexual orientation		

### Step 7. Documentation and Authorisation

Summary of actions to be taken as a result of this analysis (add additional rows as required):	Name and job title of responsible officer	How and when progress against this action will be reported
1. Annual review of how the Council meets its commitments under the code	S Marsh	Progress will be assessed as part of the report to the Audit and Governance Committee on the Annual Governance Statement.

Name and job title of officer completing this analysis:	Simon Hill
Date of completion:	26/01/17
Name & job title of responsible officer: (If you have any doubts about the completeness or sufficiency of this equality analysis, advice and support are available from the Performance Improvement Unit)	Assistant Director Governance and Performance Management
Date of authorisation:	
Date signed copy and electronic copy forwarded to PIU <a href="mailto:equality@eppingforestdc.gov.uk">equality@eppingforestdc.gov.uk</a>	

### **Step 8. Report your equality analysis to decision makers:**

Your findings from this analysis (and any previous analysis) must be made available to a decision making body when it is considering the relevant service or policy.

Therefore you must:

- reflect the findings from this analysis in a 'Due Regard Record' (template available), and attach it as an appendix to your report. The Record can be updated as your policy or service changes develop, and it exists as a log of evidence of due regard;
- Include this equality information in your verbal report to agenda planning groups or directly to portfolio holders and other decision making groups.

### **Your summary of equality analysis must include the following information:**

- if this policy, service change or withdrawal is relevant to equality, and if not, why not;
- the evidence base (information / data / research / feedback / consultation) you used to help you understand the impact of what you are doing or are proposing to do on people with protected characteristics;
- what the evidence base (information / data / research / feedback / consultation) told you about people with protected characteristics;
- what you found when you used that evidence base to assess the impact on people with the protected characteristics;
- whether or not your policy or service changes could help to advance quality of opportunity for people with any of the protected characteristics;
- whether or not your policy or service changes could help to foster good relations between communities.